

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,920	05/23/2001	Jean-Louis Blanchard	FR919990071US1	1618
35195	7590 11/19/2003		EXAM	INER
	& ASSOCIATES	FELTEN, DANIEL S		
400 BROAD	SIREEI GH, PA 15143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/200	DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/863,920	BLANCHARD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
,	Daniel S Felten	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2 <u>3 May 2001</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wishing 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fa a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do since a specific reference was included in a 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign languare 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do reference was included in the first sentence.	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The a list of the certified copies not re prestic priority under 35 U.S.C. of the first sentence of the specifical ge provisional application has be prestic priority under 35 U.S.C. of	pplication No received in this National Stage eceived. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ition or in an Application Data Sheet. en received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Zarick (43,303)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt of the amendment filed September 26, 2003 is acknowledged. Claims 1-14 remain pending in the application are presented to be examined upon their merits.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 26, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner maintains the 35 USC 103(a) rejection of Garcia for the following reason(s):

Garcia discloses a method of providing stock information wherein a candlestick bar is used (see fig. 1, col. 5, ll. 21-52 and). The data that is represent is not static data, but dynamic data that has been recorded over an interval of time in which sub-times are readily recognized within the provided time interval. The Candlesticks also show a graphical representation of a spread can be calculated from bid-ask prices taking into account the difference of pricing error due to bid-ask bounce

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Zarick (43,303)

Serial Number: 09/863,920

and volatile effects. Thus the rejections of the previous office action are maintained. Additional rejections along with the maintained previous 35 USC 103(a) rejection(s) are provided below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1, 9 and 14 in particular present claims that incorporate limitations within the body of the claims that have no technical basis and do no rise to the level of merit within the technical arts. Applicant has merely presented abstract ideas within the body of the claim(s) and must recite limitations that have technology (i.e, if a computer is controlling certain aspects/features of the invention, the claim should present language that states which aspects/features are being controlled). The mere recitation in the preamble of, "a data processing system comprising a processor", in claim 1, "A

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Zarick (43,303)

system for analyzing the characteristics of sub-time periods", in claim 9, and "In a data processing system comprising data storage means for storing historical data representative of a price evolution..." does not rise to the level of technical merit (see Ex Parte Bowman 61 USPQ2D 1665, 1671 (BD. Pat. App. & Inter 2001) (Unpub). Bowman, although not precedential, it is being cited for its analysis of whether the claim(s) is/are in the technical arts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Zarick (43,303)

In claims 1-8, it is unclear from claim 1(e) what the exploratory data analysis method is or how it being used on the results of claim 1(b-d). It is also not understood what the applicant means by "standard" description. It is not understood what criteria the applicant considers "standard".

In claims 9-13, the applicant has claimed, "a system..." in the preamble. It is uncertain whether the applicant intends to claim a method or an apparatus since the definition of a claim cover both statutory classes. Thus it is incumbent on the applicant to state on the record which class the aforementioned claims are.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the

Serial Number: 09/863,920

Art Unit: 3624

Representative: Zarick (43,303)

art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garcia (US 6,272,474 B1).

Garcia discloses a data processing system showing the price evolution of a plurality of stocks via the Internet, by which a candle stick display is used to indicate the high and the low sale price, the opening and the closing prices, and a subtime period that indicates the percentage of sales that have occurred between the bid and the ask price (see Garcia, col. 5, ll. 20+; and col. 6, 11. 48 +). Garcia fails to disclose a processor and a data storage means for storing data. However, it is notoriously old and well known in the art that websites are graphical user interfaces that are launched by a web browser, found on a local computer (client), a webserver. client and the server both have processing and storage capabilities. Thus to provide processing and storage capabilities to the website display disclosed by Garcia would have constituted an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Zarick (43,303)

Conclusion

- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Daniel S.**Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor Vincent Millin whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.
 - 4. Response to this action should be mailed to:
 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
 Washington, D.C. 20202

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label Proposed or Draft.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

Serial Number: 09/863,920

Art Unit: 3624

Applicant(s): Blanchard et al (705/37)

Page 8

Representative: Zarick (43,303)

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

DSF

November 15, 2003

Vine I Milli

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600